## CPR 2012: Validation Team Average Scores

### Finance

#### Access Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S/Y</th>
<th>N/N</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Success Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S/Y</th>
<th>N/N</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Accountability Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S/Y</th>
<th>N/N</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Partnership Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S/Y</th>
<th>N/N</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Team Access Comments

- 

Team Success Comments

- 

Team Accountability Comments

- 

Team Partnership Comments

- I feel that the finance discipline, would benefit from offering a partnership with local high school by offering a finance outreach clinic, in which they develop an outreach program design for high school how to do basic credit card management, saving and
## CPR 2012: Dean Validation Scores

### Finance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access Measures</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>S/Y</th>
<th>NI/N</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Success Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Success Measures</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>S/Y</th>
<th>NI/N</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Accountability Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accountability Measures</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>S/Y</th>
<th>NI/N</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Partnership Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partnership Measures</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>S/Y</th>
<th>NI/N</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dean Access Comments

- It is noted that the graded enrollment decrease is due to the turn-over in faculty. This issue has been addressed by the hiring of a full-time faculty in the finance discipline and by the changes in the finance curriculum.

Dean Success Comments

- The department should continue to work within the confines of the state mandated regulations for admission standards. Further, the department should identify ways to incorporate methods that will ensure improvement in overall student success.

Dean Accountability Comments

- The department should continue to encourage the adjunct faculty to fulfill their flex obligations by participating in professional development activities that will not only improve classroom instruction but student success as well.

Dean Partnership Comments

- Community partnership are crucial to the growth of the department. The department must explore way to increase their community partnership capacity.
## Award Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E 4</th>
<th>S/Y 3</th>
<th>NI/N 2</th>
<th>U 1</th>
<th>NC 0</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Team Comments

- An improvement plan was not required since results were in category 4 (although one was provided).
### Finance

#### Finance and Banking (C) Certificate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Award Measures</th>
<th>E 4</th>
<th>S/Y 3</th>
<th>NI/N 2</th>
<th>U 1</th>
<th>NC 0</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Team Comments

- No specific comments mentioned in the document.
## Award Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E</th>
<th>S/Y</th>
<th>NI/N</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Dean Comments

- All measures were met.
## Finance

### Finance and Banking (C) Certificate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Award Measures</th>
<th>E 4</th>
<th>S/Y 3</th>
<th>NI/N 2</th>
<th>U 1</th>
<th>NC 0</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Explanations were provided for each prompt/question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How well do the responses address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An improvement plan or explanation was provided if results were in category 1 or 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How well does the improvement plan address the data provided for this section?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rate the quality of the improvement plan for this section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Dean Comments

- All measures were met.